IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KATHLEEN PAINE, as Guardian of the)
Estate of CHRISTINA ROSE EILMAN,)
a Disabled Person,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.) No. 06 C 3173
)
OFFICER RICHARD CASON) Judge Kendall
OFFICER ROSENDO MORENO)
LIEUTENANT CARSON EARNEST,) Magistrate Judge Maria Valdez
SERGEANT DAVID BERGLIND,)
DETENTION AIDE SHARON STOKES,)
OFFICER TERESA WILLIAMS, DETENTION)
AIDE CYNTHIA HUDSON, DETENTION AIDE	E)
CATONIA QUINN, OFFICER DEBORAH)
MABERY, OFFICER PAMELA SMITH,)
OFFICER PAULINE HEARD,)
and CITY OF CHICAGO,)
a Municipal corporation,)
* *)
Defendants.)
	•

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Kathleen Paine, as Guardian of the Estate of Christina Rose Eilman ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd., moves this Court for an Order granting her leave to file a response to Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment. In support, Plaintiff states as follows.

1. On February 5, 2010, Defendants filed a Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Authority in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. # 661)

Case: 1:06-cv-03173 Document #: 676 Filed: 02/15/10 Page 2 of 3 PageID #:24427

2. That motion brings to the attention of the Court a recent Memorandum and

Opinion and Order in the Lizak v. Village of Compton Hills, No. 09 C 4282 (N.D. Ill.) which the

Defendants believe relates to their Motion for Summary Judgment.

3. After reviewing Defendants' motion and the attached Lizak decision, Plaintiff

drafted a response to Defendants' motion which distinguishes the *Lizak* decision from the facts

of the case at bar. (Exhibit A)

4. On February 9, 2010, the Court entered a Minute Order granting Defendants'

motion. (Doc. # 667).

5. This Order was entered prior to the filing of Plaintiff's formal written response

and, thus, the Court's CM/ECF system will not permit Plaintiff to file a response to a Motion that

has previously been ruled on.

6. Plaintiff wishes to file a brief written response to Plaintiff's motion and seeks

leave of Court to do so.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Kathleen Paine, as Guardian of the Estate of Christina

Rose Eilman, prays this Court grant it leave to file a response to Defendants' Motion for Leave

to File Supplemental Authority in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

KATHLEEN PAINE, as Guardian of the Estate of

CHRISTINA ROSE EILMAN, a Disabled Person

By:/s/ Mitchell P. Morinec

One of Her Attorneys

Jeffrey Singer, Esq. (ARDC #02620510)

Kimberly Kayiwa Esq. (ARDC# 6274510)

Mitchell P. Morinec, Esq. (ARDC #6290282)

Segal McCambridge Singer & Mahoney, Ltd.

233 S. Wacker Drive - Suite 5500

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(212) 515 7000

(312) 645-7800

Case: 1:06-cv-03173 Document #: 676 Filed: 02/15/10 Page 3 of 3 PageID #:24428

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Mitchell P. Morinec, depose and state that I served a copy of the foregoing Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal, upon all parties and counsel of record this 15th day of February 2010 via this Court's Electronic Filing System.

By:/s/ Mitchell P. Morinec

Mitchell P. Morinec